

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/632,478	Applicant(s) LEE ET AL.	
	Examiner Edwin A. León	Art Unit 2833	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Edwin A. León (3) _____

(2) Wej Te Chung (4) _____

Date of Interview: 07 January 2004

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 9 and 15

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney agreed to add the limitations of Claims 2-8 to independent Claim 1 and to cancel Claims 2-15 to put the case in condition for allowance. The amendment would define over the prior art and would render the claims unobvious over any combination of the prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

G. A. León

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required